

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Ex parte WILLIAM JAMES ANDERL, SCOTT MICHAEL BRANCH,  
DAVID PETER GAIO, MICHAEL FRANCIS HANLEY,  
VILLIAM K. HOGAN, and HOWARD VICTOR MAHANEY, JR.

---

Application No. 09/809,699

---

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

---

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 19, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

The Appeal Brief is defective because the Summary of Claimed Subject Matter does not map the claimed invention to the independent claim(s) or

dependent claim(s) argued separately, as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37 (c)(1)(v)

which states:

*(v) Summary of claimed subject matter.* A concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. For each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately under the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(vii) of this section, every means plus function and step plus function as permitted by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, must be identified and the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function must be set forth with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters.

Proper correction is required. MPEP § 1205.03 states:


When the Office holds the brief to be defective solely due to appellant's failure to provide a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v), an entire new brief need not, and should not, be filed. Rather, a paper providing a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) will suffice. Failure to timely respond to the Office's requirement will result in dismissal of the appeal. See MPEP § 1215.04 and §711.02(b).

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is return to the Examiner to:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on March 3, 2006, defective;
- 2) notify appellants to file a paper providing a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v);
- 3) consider the paper providing a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) and;
- 4) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 09/809,699

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Patrick J. Nolan", is written over a horizontal line.

PATRICK J. NOLAN

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator  
(571) 272-9797

PJN/eld

Cc: Leslie J. Payne  
IBM Corporation, Dept. 917  
3605 Highway 52 North  
Rochester MN 55901-7829